

# Accountability Reporting Guidance

Column No.	Column Title	Description	Column No.	Column Title	Description	Colour Code
<b>Indicator Explanations</b>						
1	Reference	The reference column describes which basket each indicator belongs to. A basket is a set of indicators which we use to report on progress relating to different plans or frameworks, such as Best Value or BV which we report to the Audit Commission. We have listed below the initials we use to refer to these different baskets.  CPA - These indicators are part of our Comprehensive Performance Assessment BV - We report these indicators to the Audit Commission LKI - These are local key indicators for Leeds  CP - These indicators show what progress we are making against the Corporate Plan LAA - These indicators show progress on the Local Area Agreement PAF - We report these indicators to the Commission for Social Care Inspection (CSCI) as part of the Performance Assessment Framework.	9	Predicted Full Year Result	Directorates use this column to show how well they expect to do at the end of the year. They forecast this position depending on the current performance of each indicator. This figure may change each quarter depending on the performance of the indicator. We use this figure as one method to inform whether an indicator is red, amber or green.  The green light shows that the Directorate predicts this indicator <b>WILL</b> meet its target. The Directorate uses current performance information to make this forecast.  An amber traffic light shows that the Directorate predicts this indicator will not meet its target. However, the performance for this indicator is still acceptable and will not result in significant problems. The Directorate uses current performance information to make this forecast.  The red lights shows that the Directorate predicts this indicator <b>WILL NOT</b> meet its target at the end of the year. The Directorate uses current performance information to make this forecast.	   
2	Title	The title column describes the indicator. The Audit Commission provides the description for the Best Value Indicators and CSCI sets the description for PAF indicators.	10	Year on Year Improvement	This compares how we expect to perform this year compared to last year. We use this section to explain whether the indicator is getting better, getting worse or staying the same as last year. You need to read this section together with column 9 to understand how we are performing.  The Directorate predicts that this indicator will <b>DO BETTER</b> than in the last financial year. They are using current performance information (column 9) to make this forecast.  The Directorate predicts that this indicator will <b>BE THE SAME</b> as in the last financial year. They are using current performance information (column 9) to make this forecast.  The Directorate predicts that this indicator will <b>BE WORSE</b> as in the last financial year. They are using current performance information (column 9) to make this forecast.	 ↑ ↔ ↓
<b>Information for Comparisons</b>						
3	Service	The service column identifies which team within the Council is responsible for service delivery, monitoring the performance and data quality of each indicator.	The Council compares its performance against the performance of all councils in England (known as All England). The Council also takes part in the Core Cities benchmarking group and we compare our performance against these cities of a similar size. The Core Cities are Birmingham, Bristol, Leeds, Liverpool, Manchester Newcastle, Nottingham and Sheffield. The Audit Commission audits the year end results and provides the information for the public to compare. This comparison information is only available for Best Value indicators (see 1). The Audit Commission will only provide the comparison information for 2006/07 at the end of December, so we are currently comparing performance against the 2005/06 year end position for All England and the Core Cities.			
			11	All England Top Performance Range	The Directorate predicts that this indicator will be in the <b>TOP</b> performance range. They are using current performance information (column 9) to make this forecast. The Directorate predicts that this indicator will be in the <b>MIDDLE</b> of the top and bottom performance range. They are using current performance information (column 9) to make this forecast. The Directorate predicts that this indicator will be in the <b>BOTTOM</b> performance range. They are using current performance information (column 9) to make this forecast.	  
4	Frequency & Measure	The top line in this column identifies how often we collect this information. This may be every month, every three months (quarterly) or once a year (annually). We only report annual indicators at the end of quarter 4 (after the end of March).  The second line in this column identifies what measure we use to check on progress. For example, we might measure this result in the number of days or weeks we should take to finish something, such as a planning application. In another case, we might measure the percentage, such as the percentage of enquiries we respond to within five minutes.	11a	All England Bottom Performance Range	This column shows the All England Bottom performance range. The traffic light in column 11, to the left of this, highlights the predicted Leeds position.	
5	Good Performance	The good performance column identifies if the results should go up or down to show whether we are doing well. For example, if this is set to rise, you would expect the figures to increase.	12	Core Cities Average	This column details the average result of the Core Cities for each indicator.	
<b>Targets and Results</b>						
6	2006/07 Year End	This column displays the result at the end of the previous financial year (31 March 2007)	13	Core City Position	This column details the Leeds position for each indicator compared to the eight Core Cities.	
7	2007/08 Target	This column shows the target we have agreed for this financial year.	To know we can rely on the information in these reports, it has to be of good quality. Directorates use this column to identify indicators where they have concerns about the quality of the information or data in the report. If a Directorate has Some or Significant concerns regarding Data Quality there will be an explanation in the comments field.			
8	Current Position	The current position identifies the result at the end of each quarter. We include the month we stop reporting on this in the heading of this column.	14	Data Quality Issues	No Concerns indicates that the Directorate has signed off the data as accurate. If Some Concerns has been chosen, the Directorate has concerns about the data and are working to ensure it is accurate and reliable. If Significant Concerns has been chosen, the Directorate thinks that the quality of the data may not be good or that maybe they have not got the correct data. Directorates add a comment here to explain what their concern is, if they have any.	No Concerns Some Concerns Significant Concerns
			Comments		The comments for each indicator should explain why performance varies. They should also highlight if there are any problems with the quality of the data and what steps the Directorate is taking to improve it. This section will also focus on what will be done to improve the actions and state what outcomes they have achieved.	

## Children and Young People Quarter 3 Performance Report 2007/08

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	11a	11b	12	13	14
Reference	Title	Service	Frequency & Measure	Good Performance	2006/07 Year-End	2007/08 Target	Current Position as at 31st December 07	Predicted Full Year Result	Year on Year Improvement Trend	All England Top Quartile (Based on 2006/07 Year-End data)	All England Bottom Quartile (Based on 2006/07 Year-End data)	All England Quartile Position	Core City Average (Based on 2006/07 Year-End data)	Core City position 1 = Top 8 = Bottom (Based on 2006/07 Year-End data)	Data Quality Issues
CYP-BME4	The ratio of the percentage of the priority Black cohort permanently excluded to the overall percentage for Leeds	Children and Younger People	Annually Number	Fall	1.90	1.60	1.50	1.50	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	This indicator has shown improvement and is now exceeding this year's target. Pilot funding is in place to work on a project targeted specifically at Black Caribbean pupils, this is reflective of ongoing partnership work with schools and Area Management Boards to focus on improving outcomes for vulnerable groups including reducing exclusions.														
CYP-EcW7	The percentage of primary schools providing the core offer of extended schools services.	Children and Younger People	Annually %	Rise	8.00	25.00	40.00	40.00	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	Leeds compares very favourably with regional comparators, being ahead in 4 of 6 categories.														
CYP-EcW8	The percentage of secondary schools providing the core offer of extended schools services	Children and Younger People	Annually %	Rise	18.00	23.00	64.00	64.00	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	Leeds has exceeded the national target for secondary schools offering full core provision one year ahead of schedule. Leeds also compares very favourably with regional comparators, being ahead in 4 of 6 categories.														
BV-221a	Percentage of young people aged 13-19 gaining a recorded outcome compared to the percentage of young people who participate in youth work in the local authority area.	Early Years and Youth Service	Quarterly %	Rise	48	54	14	16	↓	63	38	Bottom	48	5	Significant concerns
<b>Comments</b>	At present there are a number of issues that are prohibiting the service from providing comprehensive data on the youth work activity which is believed to be being undertaken across the city. Issues include delays in the roll out and embedding of the new Management Information System (MIS); delays in inputting data into the new system; and the non receipt of information on youth work activity from some voluntary sector organisations. There is an action plan in place to address these issues and a month 10 audit will be carried out to assess the impact of the action plan.														
BV-221b	Percentage of young people aged 13-19 gaining an accredited outcome compared to the percentage of young people aged 13-19 participating in youth work'.	Early Years and Youth Service	Quarterly %	Rise	22	30	3	8	↓	30	12	Bottom	23	3	Significant concerns
<b>Comments</b>	At present there are a number of issues that are prohibiting the service from providing comprehensive data on the youth work activity which is believed to be being undertaken across the city. Issues include delays in the roll out and embedding of the new Management Information System (MIS); delays in inputting data into the new system; and the non receipt of information on youth work activity from some voluntary sector organisations. There is an action plan in place to address these issues and a month 10 audit will be carried out to assess the impact of the action plan.														
CP-EY50a LKI-EY3a	Number of families supported with childcare, including before and after school clubs where parents are in work	Early Years and Youth Service	Quarterly Numerical	Rise	1724.00	2140.00	1623.00	2200.00	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	Some concerns
<b>Comments</b>	The reported figure represents the three month period September to November as previously agreed with the performance management team. It is anticipated that the service will exceed the annual target for this indicator as Q4 result will include the last four months data for 07/08 which, when added to the year to date figure, is likely to exceed 2140 families.														
CP-EY50b LKI-EY3b	Number of families supported with childcare including before and after school clubs where parents are in work based learning, training or further education.	Early Years and Youth Service	Quarterly Numerical	Rise	470.00	583.00	482.00	625.00	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	Some concerns
<b>Comments</b>	The targets have been set, based on an additional 8 centres opening before April 2008 and then approx another 5 opening before April 2009, with the remaining 19 due to open before April 2010.														
CP-EY51a LKI-EY2a	Number of places in children's centres	Early Years and Youth Service	Quarterly Numerical	Rise	1796.00	2231.00	1783.00	2231.00	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	Some concerns

### Children and Young People Quarter 3 Performance Report 2007/08

Reference	Title	Service	Frequency & Measure	Good Performance	2006/07 Year-End	2007/08 Target	Current Position as at 31st December 07	Predicted Full Year Result	Year on Year Improvement Trend	All England Top Quartile (Based on 2006/07 Year End data)	All England Bottom Quartile (Based on 2006/07 Year End data)	All England Quartile Position	Core City Average (Based on 2006/07 Year-End data)	Core City position 1 = Top 8 = Bottom (Based on 2006/07 Year-End data)	Data Quality Issues
<b>Comments</b>	The completion of the 26 Phase Two Children's Centres will increase the total numbers of CC Places across the city. The year end target is based on the anticipated CC places in both Phase 1 and Phase 2 centres. The actual figures cannot be confirmed until all Phase 2 centres have received OFSTED Registration.														
CP-EY52 LKI-EY6	Percentage of schools offering FFIP (Fully Flexible Integrated Provision)	Early Years and Youth Service	Quarterly %	Rise	N.A.	60.00	39.00	60.00		N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	Some concerns
<b>Comments</b>	The calculation is now based on 135 schools. With the previous delays in processing now filtering through and the momentum of work carried out by Lead Operational Staff in Q2 and Q3, the uptake of FFIP amongst primary schools is increasing. The service expects that the annual target will be met.														
CP-YS50 LKI-YS1	The level of reach into the resident 13-19 population (against a benchmark of 25%)	Early Years and Youth Service	Quarterly %	Rise	47.20	25.00	17.20	20.00	↓	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	Significant concerns
<b>Comments</b>	At present there are a number of issues that are prohibiting the service from providing comprehensive data on the youth work activity which is believed to be being undertaken across the city. Issues include delays in the roll out and embedding of the new Management Information System (MIS); delays in inputting data into the new system; and the non receipt of information on youth work activity from some voluntary sector organisations. There is an action plan in place to address these issues and a month 10 audit will be carried out to assess the impact of the action plan.														
LKI-YS2	The level of participation of the resident 13-19 population in youth work (against a benchmark of 15%)	Early Years and Youth Service	Quarterly %	Rise	25.0	15.0	4.1	6.0	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	Significant concerns
<b>Comments</b>	At present there are a number of issues that are prohibiting the service from providing comprehensive data on the youth work activity which is believed to be being undertaken across the city. Issues include delays in the roll out and embedding of the new Management Information System (MIS); delays in inputting data into the new system; and the non receipt of information on youth work activity from some voluntary sector organisations. There is an action plan in place to address these issues and a month 10 audit will be carried out to assess the impact of the action plan. It is recognised that we will not meet the target this year.														
BV-225	Action against domestic violence (DV) provision and effectiveness of LA services designed to help victims of DV and prevent DV	Community Safety	Quarterly %	Rise	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	All actions have been achieved - by the end of 2006/07														
BV-183b CPA-H15	The average length of stay in hostel accommodation of households which include dependant children or a pregnant woman who are unintentionally homeless and in priority need	Homeless and Advisory Service	Monthly Weeks	Fall	0	0	0	0	↑	0	14	Middle	6	1	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	Target set at zero as LCC does not have any hostel accommodation as defined in BV183b.														
BV-43a CYPP-BeH17	Percentage of proposed statements of special educational need issued by the authority in a financial year and prepared within 18 weeks excluding exceptions under the Education (Special Educational Needs) (England) (Consolidation) Regulations 2001	SEN and School Attendance	Quarterly %	Rise	100.0	97.0	100.0	100.0	↔	100.0	97.5	Middle	96.4	1	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	Improvements to the statementing process including a strengthening of partner engagement are continuing to maintain performance at 100% and on target. Includes 21 statements during quarter 3.														
BV-43b CYPP-BeH18	Percentage of proposed statements of special educational need issued by the authority in a financial year and prepared within 18 weeks including 'exceptions' set out in the Education (Special Educational Needs) (England) (Consolidation) Regulations 2001	SEN and School Attendance	Quarterly %	Rise	88.4	83.9	87.5	89.4	↑	98.5	80.5	Middle	87.5	4	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	Includes 48 statements during quarter 2														

## Children and Young People Quarter 3 Performance Report 2007/08

Reference	Title	Service	Frequency & Measure	Good Performance	2006/07 Year-End	2007/08 Target	Current Position as at 31st December 07	Predicted Full Year Result	Year on Year Improvement Trend	All England Top Quartile (Based on 2006/07 Year End data)	All England Bottom Quartile (Based on 2006/07 Year End data)	All England Quartile Position	Core City Average (Based on 2006/07 Year-End data)	Core City position 1 = Top 8 = Bottom (Based on 2006/07 Year-End data)	Data Quality Issues
BV-45 CYPP-PoC8 LAA-CYP8	Percentage of half days missed due to total absence in secondary schools maintained by the local education authority	SEN and School Attendance	Annually %	Fall	9.20	7.80	9.20	9.20	↔	7.40	8.39	Bottom	9.56	3	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	Secondary absence levels have remained constant since 2006. In 2006/07 there were 15 target secondary schools for reducing persistent absence and 11 of these did achieve reductions in levels from the previous year. However in 2007/08 academic year there are now 18 target schools, as the DCSF has changed the criteria by which these schools are defined. In consultation with the DCFS an integrated plan of activity has been agreed taking a more holistic approach on issues that influence attendance. This includes improved management information and monitoring processes, allowing quicker identification and timely responses.														
BV-46 CYP-PoC9	Percentage of half days missed due to total absence in primary schools maintained by the local education authority.	SEN and School Attendance	Annually %	Fall	5.70	4.70	5.20	5.20	↑	5.34	6.2	Top	6.47	1	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	Comparative performance is good and levels of primary absence have reduced since 2006, but not to the target level, which remains challenging. To address this a new initiative has been developed called Attendance Champions, where a team will target the 18 primary schools that have high levels of persistent absence. An initiative is also being developed targeted at reducing sickness absence (the highest cause of primary absence). Links are being made with Area Management Boards to produce policies within wedges on absence for family holidays. The Attendance Strategy Team will increasingly focus on schools with high levels of absence, thereby targeting resources to need.														
CYP-POC5 LAA-CYP7	The number of fixed term exclusions from schools maintained by the Local Education Authority, per 1,000 pupils	SEN and School Attendance	Annually Numerical	Fall	68.10	39.00	60.15	60.15	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	Some concerns
<b>Comments</b>	The rate of fixed-term exclusions remains a very challenging target. LPSA2 funding has been granted to address this issue, electronic monitoring will be used for earlier identification of pupils, so that this resource is targeted most appropriately. Partnership working continues with Headteachers and Area Management Boards.														
CYP-POC6 LAA-CYP6	The number of permanent exclusions from schools maintained by the Local Education Authority	SEN and School Attendance	Annually Numerical	Fall	84.00	70.00	65.00	65.00	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	There has been a fall in permanent exclusions from 2006 to below this year's target. This has been achieved through closer partnership working with Area Management Boards and with Headteachers. Electronic monitoring systems have improved and there is earlier identification of pupils likely to be excluded for cumulative incidents.														
BV-181a CYP-EnA17	Percentage of 14-year old pupils in schools maintained by the local education authority achieving Level 5 or above in the Key Stage 3 test in English	School Improvement	Annually %	Rise	70.00	74.00	71.00	71.00	↑	76.55	68.00	Middle	62.37	1	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	All results are provisional until Q4. English at KS3 has shown a slight improvement over the last three years, whilst national trends have returned to 2005 levels after a drop in 2006. The gap in English between Leeds and its statistical neighbours has closed slightly over the past three years and was maintained in 2007 at the level seen in 2006. In 2007/08 the allocation of consultant support (National Strategies consultants) is being changed to boost achievement at Key Stage 3.														
BV-181b CYP-EnA18	Percentage of 14-year old pupils in schools maintained by the local education authority achieving Level 5 or above in the Key Stage 3 test in Mathematics	School Improvement	Annually %	Rise	75.00	74.00	73.00	73.00	↓	80.00	72.25	Middle	68.33	1	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	All results are provisional until Q4. Following successive improvements up to 2006, 2007 saw a fall in maths performance in Leeds, its statistical neighbours and nationally. This drop has resulted in the gap to Statistical Neighbours remaining at 2%, and the gap to national performance widening to 3% in 2007. However, it is still the second highest attainment in maths at KS3 seen in Leeds. In 2007/08 the allocation of consultant support (National Strategies consultants) is being changed to boost achievement at Key Stage 3.														
BV-181c CYP-EnA19	Percentage of 14-year old pupils in schools maintained by the local Education Authority achieving Level 5 or above in the Key Stage 3 test in Science	School Improvement	Annually %	Rise	69.00	72.00	69.00	69.00	↔	76.95	66.68	Middle	61.68	1	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	All results are provisional until Q4. Science has seen several year on year improvements, but these have slowed in 2007. Leeds performance maintained 2006 levels, with Statistical Neighbours recording a minimal improvement. Nationally, performance improved by a further 1%, the gap to Leeds performance now standing at 4%. This is a reversal of the trend where previously the gap between Leeds' and national performance was narrowing. In 2007/08 the allocation of consultant support (National Strategies consultants) is being changed to boost achievement at Key Stage 3. This remains the second best year for KS3 results and is not inconsistent with long term incremental improvement.														
BV-181d CYP-EnA20	Percentage of 14-year old pupils in schools maintained by the Local Education Authority achieving Level 5 or above in the Key Stage 3 test in ICT	School Improvement	Annually %	Rise	68.00	72.00	70.20	70.20	↑	75.00	65.00	Middle	61.50	1	Some concerns
<b>Comments</b>	Result is based only on schools who submitted results. For 2007 this is 5220 pupils out of 8210 (64%) The reliability of data should improve from next year as results will then be collected directly from schools.														

## Children and Young People Quarter 3 Performance Report 2007/08

Reference	Title	Service	Frequency & Measure	Good Performance	2006/07 Year-End	2007/08 Target	Current Position as at 31st December 07	Predicted Full Year Result	Year on Year Improvement Trend	All England Top Quartile (Based on 2006/07 Year End data)	All England Bottom Quartile (Based on 2006/07 Year End data)	All England Quartile Position	Core City Average (Based on 2006/07 Year-End data)	Core City position 1 = Top 8 = Bottom (Based on 2006/07 Year-End data)	Data Quality Issues
BV-194a CYP-ENA21	The percentage of 11 year old pupils achieving Level 5 in Key Stage 2: English	School Improvement	Annually %	Rise	32	33	32	32	↔	35	27	Middle	27	1	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	Performance remains the same as in 2006 with results improving by 1% point for statistical neighbours and national maintained schools average results. Leeds performance is now in line with statistical neighbours and marginally behind national levels.														
BV-194b CYP-EnA22	% of pupils achieving level 5 or above in Key Stage 2 Maths	School Improvement	Annually %	Rise	33	34	30	30	↓	35	29	Middle	29	1	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	Performance has declined since last year, performance has also declined amongst statistical neighbour authorities and for maintained schools nationally, although these are by lesser amounts than in Leeds and the Leeds average is now 2% points below these.														
BV-38 CYP-EnA13 LAA-CYP4	Percentage of 15 year old pupils in schools maintained by the local education authority achieving five or more GCSEs at grades A*-C or equivalent.	School Improvement	Annually %	Rise	52.2	56.5	55.9	55.9	↑	61.9	52.2	Middle	50.7	4	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	The headline percentage at 5+ grades A*-C has increased again from 2006 by 3.7 percentage points. This is a significant improvement, and a rise that exceeds that seen nationally. In addition, the gap towards the LPSA target has been halved, making achievement of this target more realistic, due to improved interventions with schools.														
BV-39 CYP-EnA14 LAA-CYP3	Percentage of 15 year old pupils in schools maintained by the local education authority achieving five or more GCSEs or equivalent at grades A*-G including English and Maths.	School Improvement	Annually %	Rise	85.0	89.0	86.1	86.1	↑	91.5	86.4	Bottom	81.8	2	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	Performance on this PI shows a slight increase on 2006, but remains a priority for further improvement. Performance is strongly connected to the 14-19 planned curriculum developments across the city and ongoing improvements targeting young people at risk of low achievement or NEET.														
BV-40 CYP-EnA15	Percentage of pupils in schools maintained by the local education authority achieving Level 4 or above in the Key Stage 2 Mathematics test.	School Improvement	Annually %	Rise	76.0	80.0	77.0	77.0	↑	78.0	73.0	Middle	72.2	1	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	KS2 outcomes have risen by 1% from last year. This rise has been mirrored nationally and Leeds remains in line with national attainment. Schools who have participated in the Intensifying Support programme have made strong improvements, with maths results have risen by 6.6% in these schools.														
BV-41 CYP-EnA16	Percentage of pupils in schools maintained by the local education authority achieving Level 4 or above in the Key Stage 2 English test.	School Improvement	Annually %	Rise	79.0	79.0	81.0	81.0	↑	81.3	76	Middle	74.4	1	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	KS2 outcomes have risen by 1% from last year. This rise has been mirrored nationally and Leeds remains in line with national attainment. Schools who have participated in the Intensifying Support programme have made strong improvements, with english results have risen by 7.1% in these schools.														
CP-SI50 CYP-Beh7 LAA-CYP11	Increase in the percentage of pupils who participate in at least 2 hours of PE/ Sport each week	School Improvement	Annually %	Rise	83.00	85.00	86.00	86.00	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	Some concerns
<b>Comments</b>	Leeds remains above the West Yorkshire average of 84% and is in line with the national average.														
CYP-BME1	The percentage of pupils from priority Asian cohorts achieving 5 or more grades A*-C or equivalent at GCSE	School Improvement	Annually %	Rise	N.A.	N.A.	See Comments	See Comments		N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	Significant concerns
<b>Comments</b>	The result for this indicator is not available yet as we are awaiting a response from the Department for Children Schools and Families (DCSF) on the accuracy of pupil-level datasets.														

## Children and Young People Quarter 3 Performance Report 2007/08

Reference	Title	Service	Frequency & Measure	Good Performance	2006/07 Year-End	2007/08 Target	Current Position as at 31st December 07	Predicted Full Year Result	Year on Year Improvement Trend	All England Top Quartile (Based on 2006/07 Year End data)	All England Bottom Quartile (Based on 2006/07 Year End data)	All England Quartile Position	Core City Average (Based on 2006/07 Year-End data)	Core City position 1 = Top 8 = Bottom (Based on 2006/07 Year-End data)	Data Quality Issues
CYP-BME2	The percentage of pupils from priority Black cohorts achieving 5 or more grades A*-C or equivalent at GCSE	School Improvement	Annually %	Rise	N.A.	N.A.	See Comments	See Comments		N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	Significant concerns
<b>Comments</b>	The result for this indicator is not available yet as we are awaiting a response from the Department for Children Schools and Families (DCSF) on the accuracy of pupil-level datasets.														
CYP-BeH6 LAA-CYP12	The proportion of schools that have achieved the National Healthy Schools Standard	School Improvement	Annually %	Rise	46.00	50.00	62.00	62.00	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	Performance is on target to achieve the LPSA target. The work of the Healthy Schools team is now highly targeted to SOA target schools in order to support the narrowing the gap agenda and to address the critical issue of quality of PSHE in secondary, especially focussed on SRE and substance misuse prevention.														
CYP-ENa11b	Proportion of pupils in schools maintained by the authority achieving five or more GCSEs at grades A* - C or equivalent, including Maths and English	School Improvement	Annually %	Rise	40.00	46.00	42.10	42.10	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	The 5+A*-C including English and maths indicator shows that Leeds performance has improved by two percentage points. The gap between the percentage of pupils getting 5 good GCSEs and those getting 5 good GCSEs including English and maths has been smaller in Leeds compared to the national and statistical neighbour averages in previous years. As this indicator will become a key measure of performance in 2009, maximising outcomes in English and maths remains a priority.														
CYP-EY1	The percentage of primary schools not attaining the 2008 Key Stage 2 floor targets of 65% Level 4 and above in English	School Improvement	Annually %	Fall	14.00	9.00	10.50	10.50	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	Performance has improved since 2006 and equates to 4 fewer primary schools being below the floor target. Schools who have participated in the Intensifying Support programme have made good improvements. This target is being changed from 2008 onwards to be a combined target for both English and Maths at Key Stage 2.														
CYP-EY2	The percentage of primary schools not attaining the 2008 Key Stage 2 floor targets of 65% Level 4 and above in Maths	School Improvement	Annually %	Fall	22.00	14.00	12.90	12.90	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	Performance has improved since 2006 and equates to 14 fewer primary schools being below the floor target. Schools who have participated in the Intensifying Support programme have made good improvements. This target is being changed from 2008 onwards to be a combined target for both English and Maths at Key Stage 2.														
CYP-EY3	The proportion of 5 year olds achieving 6+ in core elements of the Foundation Stage Profile (FSP)	School Improvement	Annually %	Rise	N.A.	47.00	47.30	47.30		N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	This indicator demonstrates an upturn in outcomes in Leeds. The percentage of pupils who reached this level of achievement has risen by over 4 percentage points and is now at its highest recorded level in Leeds. The Leeds figure is also slightly higher than the national figure and reflects the exceptional increases in performance in the CLLD strands this year.														
CYP-EY4	The gap between the average Foundation Stage Profile scores of the lowest 20% of results and the median	School Improvement	Annually %	Fall	N.A.	37.10	38.20	38.20		N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	The Leeds median figure for the full cohort has not changed from 2006 to 2007, however the average total point score of the lowest achieving cohort has been raised. The gap between the outcomes for our lowest achievers and the average has therefore been reduced.														
CYP-EcW2 LAA-CYP19	The percentage of 16-18 year olds that are NOT in education, employment or training (NEET)	School Improvement	Annually %	Fall	8.80	8.40	See Comments	See Comments		N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	The data for this PI is not available until February 2008														
CYP-EcW5	The proportion of 19 year olds with level 2 qualifications	School Improvement	Annually %	Rise	63.00	64.00	See Comments	See Comments		N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	The data for this PI is not available until Spring 2008														
CYP-EcW6	The proportion of 19 year olds with Level 3 qualifications	School Improvement	Annually %	Rise	41.00	45.00	See Comments	See Comments		N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns

## Children and Young People Quarter 3 Performance Report 2007/08

Reference	Title	Service	Frequency & Measure	Good Performance	2006/07 Year-End	2007/08 Target	Current Position as at 31st December 07	Predicted Full Year Result	Year on Year Improvement Trend	All England Top Quartile (Based on 2006/07 Year End data)	All England Bottom Quartile (Based on 2006/07 Year End data)	All England Quartile Position	Core City Average (Based on 2006/07 Year-End data)	Core City position 1 = Top 8 = Bottom (Based on 2006/07 Year-End data)	Data Quality Issues
<b>Comments</b>	The data for this PI is not available until Spring 2008														
CYP-EnA11c LAA-CYP22	The percentage of pupils in schools maintained by the authority achieving 5 or more GCSEs at grade A* - G or equivalent.	School Improvement	Annually %	Rise	87.00	88.00	88.00	88.00	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	Performance on this PI shows a slight increase on 2006. Performance is strongly connected to the 14-19 planned curriculum developments across the city and ongoing improvements targeting young people at risk of low achievement or NEET. This measure is a key contributor to contextual value-added scores, and new national targets about levels of progress will ensure this remains a priority.														
CYP-EnA11d	The percentage of pupils in schools maintained by the authority achieving any qualification at the end of Key Stage 4.	School Improvement	Annually %	Rise	96	97	96	96	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	There has been a small rise in the number of pupils achieving any qualification. This PI is strongly related to attendance, so the revised attendance strategy will make an impact on this PI, as will work within the 14-19 strategy focused on young people at risk of being NEET.														
CYP-EnA6	The proportion of schools that were scored 2 or better by OfSTED for overall effectiveness.	School Improvement	Quarterly %	Rise	59.0	63.0	53.0	65.0	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	This performance is volatile being dependent on the cohort of schools inspected. The quarter three figure is based on 15 inspection reports, over the course of a year the number of inspections will be around 100, current performance is therefore unlikely to be representative of the whole year with the potential for significant change as the number of inspections increases. This judgement is the overarching judgement on individual school performance. As such it reflects the collective contribution of all school improvement related activity and the wider contribution of all children's services activity.														
CYPP-EcW1 LAA-CYP21	The percentage of pupils leaving year 11 that are NOT in education, employment or Training (NEET)	School Improvement	Annually %	Fall	8	7	See Comments	See Comments		N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	The data for this PI is not available until Spring 2008														
LKI-NR2	Number of schools in disadvantaged communities with less than 30% of pupils achieving 5 or more GCSEs at grade A* to C	School Improvement	Annually numerical	Fall	5.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	The national floor target for 2008 is no school below 30%. Considerable progress has been made on this indicator to reduce this to only 1 school below the floor target from 7 in 2005.														
BV-161 CP-CF54 A4	The ratio of the percentage of those young people who were looked after on 1st April in their 17th year (age 16) who were engaged in education, training or employment at the age of 19 to the percentage of young people in the population who were engaged in education, training or employment at the age of 19.	Children and Young People's Social Care	Quarterly %	Rise	0.87	0.90	0.88	0.90	↑	0.91	0.65	Middle	0.69	4	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	<p>We have maintained the stepped improvement in performance made between 2006 and 2007. We remain just short of our target, and are continuing with a range of efforts, including the implementation of our 'Stepping Stones' action plan and PAs (based in our Pathway Planning team) offering support to residential units. There is other similar support, such as from Connexions PAs at Eastmoor Secure Unit and linking into the Youth Offending service. 37 care leavers from Leeds are currently in higher education, 5 of whom are post-graduates.</p> <p>For the future we have a collaborative effort being made between the National Care Leaving Care Advisory Service and Connexions West Yorkshire to focus specifically on increasing the numbers of young people from care in education, training and employment and improving the opportunities available to them. The result of this collaboration is the Life's 2 Short project. The first stage of the project was to carry out an initial assessment of the five West Yorkshire local authorities, looking at their strengths and weaknesses. The next stage looked at the good practice that would make a difference in West Yorkshire. The third stage will be to enable each local authority to improve the support they give to young people from care.</p>														
BV-162 CP-CF55 LAA CYP13 C20	The percentage of child protection cases which were reviewed regularly as a percentage of those cases that should have been reviewed during the year.	Children and Young People's Social Care	Quarterly %	Rise	98	100	98	99	↑	100	100	Bottom	99	3	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	<p>Current performance is outlined in the Quarter 3 report. This reports a performance of 98% at December 07 with a forecast of 99% at the end of the financial year.</p> <p>The current "failures" represent 4 children in 2 families. Two meetings have been late out of a total of approx 1000 over a year. The 4 children are all due for Review again before 31.3.08. so may disappear from the cohort if a CP plan is viewed to be no longer necessary. The review of 1 sibling group was overdue by 1 week. The review of the other sibling group was over due by 3 weeks.</p>														

## Children and Young People Quarter 3 Performance Report 2007/08

Reference	Title	Service	Frequency & Measure	Good Performance	2006/07 Year-End	2007/08 Target	Current Position as at 31st December 07	Predicted Full Year Result	Year on Year Improvement Trend	All England Top Quartile (Based on 2006/07 Year End data)	All England Bottom Quartile (Based on 2006/07 Year End data)	All England Quartile Position	Core City Average (Based on 2006/07 Year-End data)	Core City position 1 = Top 8 = Bottom (Based on 2006/07 Year-End data)	Data Quality Issues
BV-163 CP-CF56 C23	The number of children who ceased to be looked after during the year as a result of the granting of an adoption or special guardianship order, as a percentage of the number of children looked after at 31st March (excluding unaccompanied asylum seekers) who had been looked after for 6 months or more on that day.	Children and Young People's Social Care	Quarterly %	Rise	7.9	8.0	6.7	7.0	↓	9.5	5.6	Middle	7.5	5	Some concerns
<b>Comments</b>	<p>In 2006-07 Leeds had 84 children who were adopted or subject to Special Guardianship Order. At Quarter 3 in the current financial year it has had 64 recorded. Previous experience suggests that this is very likely to represent an undercount in performance and it is expected that the total number of children adopted or made subject to special guardianship will equal or exceed last year's total when the information is validated during Quarter 4.</p> <p>Although the service is likely to meet its aspirations for the numbers of adoptions and special guardianship orders undertaken during the year, the increase in the overall numbers of looked after children adversely affects the performance indicator as this measures the adoptions and special guardianship orders as a proportion of the total number of looked after children. In general terms, the cohort of children who are currently placed for adoption entered the care system in the first half of 2006-07. The increase in the numbers of looked after children since this time adversely effects the indicator by adding to its denominator and with little impact upon the numerator.</p>														
BV-50 CP-CF53 LAA CYP13 A2	The percentage of young people leaving care aged 16 or over with at least one GCSE at Grade A* - G or a GNVQ.	Children and Young People's Social Care	Annually %	Rise	55	60	60	60	↑	59	46	Top	51	2	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	This is reported on annually in November. There is no in year data available. The next report will be available for November 2008.														
CP-CF51 LAA-CYP14	Ensure 15% of children looked after by the council achieve the equivalent of 5 or more GCSEs at grade A* to C	Children and Young People's Social Care	Annually %	Rise	8.00	15.00	7.80	7.80	↓	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	This is reported on annually in November. There is no in year data available. The next report will be available for November 2008.														
CP-CF57	Number of children coming into care for the first time in the year	Children and Young People's Social Care	Annually Numerical	Fall	387	254	257	350	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	Numbers of looked after children in the city remain high. There are a significant number of unaccompanied asylum seekers, which is adding to the pressure on numbers of looked after children. It should be noted that social care also provides support to large numbers of asylum seeking young people who are over 18 years old or are younger and living with their families.														
LKI-SS1 A3	The percentage of children registered during the year on the Child Protection Register who had been previously registered.	Children and Young People's Social Care	Quarterly %	Fall	12.90	12.50	19.10	19.10	↓	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	Some concerns
<b>Comments</b>	Re-registration cannot be anticipated at the point of Child Protection Conference. Research is being undertaken into a sample of cases in Leeds focussing on what services were provided between de-registration and re-registration.														
LKI-SS29 C64	The percentage of Core Assessments that were completed within 35 working days of their commencement	Children and Young People's Social Care	Quarterly %	Rise	57.80	75.00	74.00	75.00	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	The biggest impact on this indicator has come from a much improved staffing situation, backed by continued performance mangement.														
LKI-SS32 C63	The number of children and young people who communicated their views specifically for each of their statutory reviews as a percentage of the number of children and young people who had been looked after at March 31st for more than four weeks.	Children and Young People's Social Care	Quarterly %	Rise	74.60	85.00	86.00	86.00	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	The significant improvement between 05/06 and 06/07 has been sustained. The target has been exceeded and there are plans for continued improvement.														



### Children and Young People Quarter 3 Performance Report 2007/08

Reference	Title	Service	Frequency & Measure	Good Performance	2006/07 Year-End	2007/08 Target	Current Position as at 31st December 07	Predicted Full Year Result	Year on Year Improvement Trend	All England Top Quartile (Based on 2006/07 Year End data)	All England Bottom Quartile (Based on 2006/07 Year End data)	All England Quartile Position	Core City Average (Based on 2006/07 Year-End data)	Core City position 1 = Top 8 = Bottom (Based on 2006/07 Year-End data)	Data Quality Issues
LKI-SS34	Percentage of initial assessments within 7 working days of referral	Children and Young People's Social Care	Quarterly %	Rise	73	80	78	79	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	<p>The biggest impact on this has come from a much improved staffing situation, backed by continued Performance Management.</p> <p>The projected year outturn figures for timeliness of initial assessments is 79% against a target of 80% and up from 73% in 2006/07. The average performance for statistical neighbours for 2006/07 was 72.7%</p>														
LKI-SS37	Number of looked after children	Children and Young People's Social Care	Quarterly Numerical	Fall	1359	1360	1368	1380	↓	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	<p>There has been the expected seasonal drop in the number of looked after children before Christmas. The number of asylum seeking children entering Leeds has fallen during this quarter however this remains the most unpredictable element of the total cohort. The intergrated Strategic Commissioning Board has agreed that the reducing the number of looked after children is a priority for Children's Services.</p>														
LKI-SS38 C68	Timeliness of reviews for looked after children	Children and Young People's Social Care	Quarterly %	Rise	33.80	70.00	64.24	60.00	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	<p>This indicator is calculated on the basis of the numbers of children who have had all of their statutory reviews completed on time in the year. In general terms the indicator is therefore expected to decline from a high point during the year as children are required to have further reviews. The indicator can only show improvement as the cohort changes. Improvements which were noted in Qtr 2 were, for example, attributable to a number of unaccompanied asylum seeking children becoming 18 and therefore leaving the care cohort. The maintenance of the current percentage rate at 64% suggests month on month improvements in the overall percentage of looked after children reviews being completed in a timely fashion.</p> <p>A setback was encountered following the admission of 58 children into care in June generating 28 day reviews in July. Some recovery was made and the number of reviews completed on time within each month has continued to rise (92% in November and 95% in December). This improvement can be attributed to the additional staff in place.</p>														
CP-CSP52b	Increase the take up of cultural and sporting opportunities amongst 5-19 year olds - S&AR	Sport	Quarterly Numerical	Rise	1,031,050	1,000,000	804,775	1,097,685	↑	N.A.	N.A.	Blank	N.A.	N.A.	No concerns
<b>Comments</b>	<p>The result for Q3 07/08 was 280,524 which is 5.89% higher than the same period last year. This increase can be attributed to John Smeaton Leisure Centre, South Leeds Sports Centre and the new Aquatics Centre being open this year. These centres contributed 13 618; 4,886; 557 visits respectively. This increase was achieved despite Leeds International Pool closing in Q3 which only had 363 visits instead of the usual 3,000 visits per quarter.</p>														